

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 29 Jan 2003	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division 17320 Dahlgren Road Dahlgren, VA 22448-5100 Attn: G. R. Vaughan, Code SD105		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No. Street, county, State and ZIP: Code) TO BE COMPLETED BY ALL OFFERORS			(4) X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N00178-03-R-3065
				9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 15 January 2003
				10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE 1R5H9		FACILITY CODE		

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATA SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and data specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

(4)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return ___ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

SEE PAGE 2 FOR DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED

NSN 7540-01-152-8070
(REV. 10-83)
PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE

Computer Generated

STANDARD FORM 30

PURPOSE:

This amendment is issued to provide answers to questions received concerning the solicitation. The date and time for submittal of offers is not changed as a result of this amendment.

AMENDMENT

1. Question: Table 2, Page 10, refers to an SRR. This is not mentioned in paragraph C.3.4 on page 8. What is the SRR and why is it not called out in C.3.4.

Answer: Table 2, Page 10, refers to a Systems Requirements Review (SRR). Paragraph C.3.4 refers to a System Design Review (SDR). These terms are interchangeable and refer to the same meeting.

2. Question: Paragraph C.3.5 on page 8 refers to hardware quantities that also appear in Table 1 on page 10. The information relative to lot A matches from C.3.5 to table, but the information relative to lot B does not. C.3.5 states that lot B consist of 400 fuzes, Table 1 indicates 409 fuzes. Why is there a difference in quantity relative to lot B?

Answer: Change paragraph C.3.5 from “shall then fabricate 400 fuzes” to “shall then fabricate 409 fuzes” to correspond with Table 1 on Page 10.

3. Question: Page 77, Paragraph (4), states only three offeror or representatives may attend the Oral presentation:

- The offeror’s proposed Program Manager who will be one of two presenters
- Another offeror’s employee who will be performing work under the proposed effort and will also present
- One additional person who may attend as an observer. This observer may not participate in the presentation and make no verbal, written, or other contact with the two presenters during the presentation.

This same paragraph also encourages participation of offeror subcontractors. This prompts the following questions:

- If a subcontractor is to participate, is that considered as one of the two individuals described above (The third described above being the offeror’s Proposed Program Manager)?
- If a subcontractor is considered as a fourth, can the subcontractor participate in the presentation?
- Can the observer answer questions during the question and answer session or participate in any way during either the presentation or the question and answer session?

Answer:

- If an offeror wishes participation by a subcontractor, then the subcontractor personnel can be the fourth participant.

- The subcontractor personnel may participate in the presentation, but the timeframes set forth in the solicitation will not change as a result of the subcontractor participation.
- The observer may participate in the question and answer session.

4. Question: Page 78, Paragraph (10) appears to be under Paragraph (f) which begins at the bottom of page 77. Paragraph (f) appears to be part of Ddl-L24. As such, why is Paragraph (10) so labeled as it does not appear consistent with other numbering as part of Ddl-L24? This may be significant as Paragraph (10) is labeled "Management" and may be in a special section of the oral presentation (i.e., May be part of paragraph (f)-1 (Technical Understanding/Capability), (f)-2 (Manufacturing and Control), or (f)-3 (Schedule Factors).

Answer: The paragraph numbering was inadvertently carried over from paragraph 9 of paragraph e. It is the intent that the management discussion be an integral part of the oral presentation. As a result, paragraph (f) is changed to read --"be structured into four primary components", and paragraph (10), Management is added as item # 4 under paragraph (f).

5. Question: Section L of the RFP requires the following Submittals:

- Volume I, Solicitation, Offer and Award (SF33).
- Volume II, Technical Proposal - Personnel, Past Performance and Mandatory Requirements only.
- Volume III, Cost or Price Proposal
- Viewgraphs/Slides for Oral Presentation (All Technical and Management understanding and capability for this requirement)

A separate Management volume is not required, Pertinent Management information will be included in the viewgraph/slides, is this a correct understanding?

Answer: Yes

6. Question: Has the army or any of the army's contractors generated any software documents not included in the RFP as an attachment or listed as available on request?

Answer: No additional software documents are available.

7. Paragraph (4) under section (e) of Ddl-L24 Oral Presentation, page 77 stipulates that one of the presenters during the oral proposal will be the proposed Program Manager. Although this is one of the preferred presenters, the requirement is hereby revised as follows: "Presenters shall be senior level Key Personnel the offeror will actually employ to perform the work under the prospective contract." The language "shall include the proposed Program Manager" is deleted from the sentence.